

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,746	02/08/2005	Mikio Ikenishi	330-287 4942	
23117 7590 09/19/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			FALASCO, LOUIS V	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,746	IKENISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Louis Falasco	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
refloa for Kepty						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
·— ·—	a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
_	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/25/06</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1773

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

PAPERS RECEIVED

- 1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed 2/8/05; 1/25/06; 10/25/06 and 2/26/07 are acknowledged.
- 2. The certified priority papers filed 5/17/05 have been received.

CLAIMS

3. The claims are: 1 to 12.

Election/Restriction of Invention

- 4. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
 - a. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I, claims 1 to 11, drawn to a glass substrate, classified in class 428 subclasses 846.9.

Group II, claim 12, drawn to a method of coating, classified in class 427 subclass 127.

Art Unit: 1773

Page 3

b. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: (i) the glass of Group I does not require the heat treating and coating steps of by the method of Group II and (ii) the corresponding special technical feature shared by Group I and Group II, an glass substrate, does not define a contribution over the art as event from either **Hashimoto et al** (US 6332338) – see col. 1 ln 65 to col. 2 ln 34 and **Speit** (US 5846280) – see col. 2 lns 32-43.

Election of Species

5. If Group I is elected:

The following patentably distinct species must also be elected from the Group I invention:

- A. Alkali-metal-oxide-containing glass having SiO₂, Al₂O₃, CaO, BaO and K₂O as essential components appearing in claim 2.
- B. Alkali-metal-oxide-containing glass having SiO₂, Al₂O₃, CaO, BaO, K₂O, MgO, SrO, TiO₂, ZrO₂, Li₂O, Na₂O and ZnO as essential components appearing in claims 3 to 6.

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

- C. The glass of the linear thermal expansion coefficient 70×10^{-7} / °C or more appearing in claim 7.
- D. The glass of alkali-metal-oxide-containing has a specific gravity of 3.5 or less appearing in claim 8.
- E. The glass based storage laminate appearing in claims 9 to 11.
- 6. Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits. Currently claim 1 is generic.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the Species and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 9. Should applicant *traverse* on the ground that the Species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the Species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record this is the case. In

Art Unit: 1773

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an Election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 12. The claims are to 1 to 12.
 - Information Disclosure Statements and priority papers have been received.
 - Restriction has been required.

Art Unit: 1773

INQUIRES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis Falasco, PhD whose telephone number is (571)272-1507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney, PhD can be reached at (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N

09/07